Problem Set 4 – part 2

5. Consider the existence of general competitive equilibrium in a pure exchange economy subject to excise tax on net purchases. All taxes are rebated as lump sums equally to all households. This is the model of Starr's *General Equilibrium Theory*, problem 12.2 (similar in two editions).

We use the following notation:

p is the N-dimensional nonnegative price vector,

 x^{i} is the N-dimensional nonnegative vector of household i's consumption, x^{i} is a decision variable for i

rⁱ is the N-dimensional nonnegative vector of i's endowment

 $D^{i}(p) (= x^{i})$ is the N-dimensional vector of i's consumption as a function of p, based on i's budget which is denoted $M^{i}(p)$

#H is the finite integer number of households in the economy consisting of the set H

 $\tau\,$ is the N-dimensional nonnegative vector of excise tax rates (on net purchases) in the economy

T is the transfer of tax revenue to the typical household.

The budget constraint is $p \cdot x^{i} + \tau \cdot (x^{i} - r^{i})_{+} = M^{i}(p)$ where

 $M^{i}(p) = p \cdot r^{i} + T$ where $T = (1/\#H)\Sigma_{h \in H} \tau \cdot (x^{h} - r^{h})_{+}$

where the notation (\cdot)+ indicates the vector consisting of the nonnegative co-ordinates of (\cdot)

with zeroes replacing the negative co-ordinates of (\cdot) . The household is assumed to treat T parametrically --- as independent of his own expenditure decisions.

Please make the usual assumptions about continuity, convexity, monotonicity of preference, and adequacy of income.

Will a Walrasian competitive equilibrium exist generally in the economy with excise taxation? Explain why or why not. State any additional assumptions you need. Feel free to cite well-known results.

6. Consider a Walrasian competitive general equilibrium in the model of problem 5 above. Will the allocation be Pareto efficient?